

DATE: 1 FEB 2022

TO: COMO Dean McFarren DCOS Curtis Han DCAPT Bruce Martin DCAPT Chick Cobery DCAPT Alan Stanton CC: DISTRICT 11NR BOARD AND STAFF Lou Sarto, ADSO-HR, Flotilla 01-04 Mary Stephens, ADSO-HR, Flotilla 01-07 FROM: Jim Losi, DSO-HR

RE: Human Resources Report - January 2022

HIGHLIGHTS

1. Membership Summary Monthly Reporting

- i. January 2022 YTD Report attached Figure 1
- ii. Current D11NR Membership stands at 1,066 as of 31 Jan 2022.
- iii. Each week the DCDRs will be receiving qualified prospective new member leads from me. Please follow up on them. The number of qualified leads has been increasing regularly.
 - iv. Processed 1 7035 for Flotilla 01-09 on 29 Jan 2022.
 - v. Completed the D11NR Exit Interview template. Please see Figure
 - 2.

Projects

- Communication and implementation of D11 HR Plan
- Communication and implementation of Retention Strategy
- Continued reporting and analysis of 2022 Membership Summary

Future Activities

• Virtual Call with Div SO-HR and FSO-HR members - This will be an ongoing activity scheduled on a periodic basis

Challenges

- Succession planning DSO-HR for support and coverage
- Creating the time necessary to reach District members to inspire and motivate them to recruit new members and retain existing members

Goals and Objectives

- Play a leading role in helping to implement recruitment and retention strategies.
- Help facilitate the recruiting of 100+ new members in 2022
- Elevate the HR District function in the eyes of District members to be considered world class.

Figure 1 - December 2021 D11 NR Month End and Year End Membership Report and Summary/Analysis

| | nual and Monthly Me | mbership Sumr | nary | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 1 | (Iembers) | hip Inflows | s and Ou | tflows | | 2022 Vs. | |
|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------|----------|--------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|---------|--------|----------|-------------------------------|
| | · | | | Year End | Year End | Year End | | Retired | Members | | JAN | 2022 | 2021 | |
| | | | | # of | a aí | a of | | or | Grest | | NET * | B/(W) | * | |
| Division | Name | Flotilla Name | Flotilla | Members | Members | Members | New | Left | *^ *The Bar | ^ • TEFR | MEMBERS | 2021 | Change | Comments |
| 1 | Sonio: Area | Sausalita Tiburon | 113 01 02 | 53 | 51 | 52 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 51 | -1 | -1.92% | SOG1 Disensedment Rollover |
| | | Central Marin | 113-01-04 | 48 | 46 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | Point Encita | 115-01-07 | 46 | 47 | 42 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 41 | -1 | -2.38% | 2021 Disennoliment Sallaver |
| | | Coyote Point | 113-01-09 | 35 | 31 | 35 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 34 | -1 | -2.86% | Distartilment of Shaun Bernha |
| | | | Total: | 182 | 178 | 172 | 0 | -8 | 0 | 0 | 169 | -3 | -1.74% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Ensring Thirties Area | McClellan Park | 113-08-01 | 34 | 28 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| - | Sacramonto Rogica | Gold Country | 113-03-03 | 30 | 29 | 85 | Ū. | -12 | 0 | ò | .84 | -8 | -7.69% | SDs1 Disenvolment Sollover |
| | o not annotite riogisti | Sacramento | 113-03-05 | 13 | 39 | 36 | 0 | -1 | ō | 0 | 35 | -1 | -2.78% | 2021 Diseared ment Bollover |
| | | COSULTES ROVER | 118-03-10 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 1 | 0 | ŏ | ŏ | 14 | 1 | 7.69% | Rox - 12 |
| | | CONCERNMENT AND THE | Total: | 124 | 110 | 104 | 1 | -3 | 0 | a | 102 | -8 | -1.92% | |
| | | | L Usida, | P41 | 110 | 104 | | | | • | 106 | | 14.76/8 | |
| 4 | South Bay Area | San Jose | 113 04 01 | 14 | 17 | 19 | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0.00% | 1 |
| 4 | a docur bay moa | Palo Alto | 113-04-03 | 14 | 18 | 15 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0.00% | - |
| | | | | | | | | | 0 | | | | 0.00% | |
| | | Redwood City | 113-04-06 | 51 | 21 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .23 | 0 | | |
| | | Almaden | 113-04-08 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | Silicon Valley | 113-04-09 | 24 | 20 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | | Total: | 85 | 84 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Q | 89 | D | 0.00% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 5 Suisun Bay Arca | Valiejo | 118 06 01 | 37 | 36 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | Napa | 113-05-02 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ŭ | 26 | ø | 0.00% | |
| | | N Solano County | 112-06-03 | 23 | 38 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | Sonoma County | 113-05-05 | 40 | 40 | 37 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 36 | -1 | -8.70% | 2021 Discarellment Rollover |
| | | Diablo | 113-05-07 | - 78 | 36 | .24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 4.17% | 2022 Teorniling |
| | * | • | Total: | 164 | 166 | 187 | 1 | -1 | 0 | a | 157 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 6 Gola Coast Area | Montarey | 112 06 04 | 87 | 56 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | Santa Cruz | 1.1.3-06-07 | 32 | 32 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0,00% | |
| | | Capitois | 0130610 | 30 | 30 | .27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .37 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | | Total: | 119 | 117 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Utah Area | Salt Lake City | 113-07-02 | 32 | 28 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 29 | -1 | -3.33% | Transfer of Flerre Srunter |
| | | Wagatch | 113-07-03 | 30 | 17 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0,00% | |
| | | Ogdon | 118 07 06 | 26 | 25 | 17 | 0 | 1 1 | 0 | 1 | 17 | Ó | 0.00% | Transfer of Pierce Brunder |
| | | Garden City | 113-07-08 | 18 | 17 | 23 | 0 | 0 | ő | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0.00% | reality in rate of the second |
| | 1 | 045(0511010) | Total: | 96 | 87 | 88 | 0 | -1 | 0 | ŏ | 87 | -1 | -1.14% | |
| | | | 1.0000 | 84 | 01 | U. | | - | | 0 | | | -414/8/0 | _ |
| В | North Coast 8 Area | Meridasino Caunty | 113-08-07 | 21 | 17 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| P | A DESILVANCO MINI | New Lake County | 113 08 08 | 27 | 28 | 24 | C C | ő | ő | 0 | 24 | 0 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | | | 29 | | | | 0 | | | 30 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | Gressent City | 113-08-11 | | 27 | 30 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | | Thirty Niners | 118-08-89 | 36 | 36 | 31 | | -1 | | 0 | 05. | -1 | -4.76% | 2021 Disenvolment Rollover |
| | | | Total: | 113 | 108 | 93 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 92 | -1 | -1.08% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 |) Ban Joaquin Valley Area | Modesto | 113-10-02 | 17 | 16 | 18 | C | -1 | 0 | 0 | 17 | -1 | -5.88% | 2021 Disenveliment Rollover |
| | | Stocktor | 113-10-03 | 23 | 21 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | Presno | 113 10 03 | 11 | 10 | 13 | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | Kaweah | 113-10-06 | 17 | 16 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ů | 13 | Ď | 0.00% | |
| | | | Total: | 68 | 63 | 65 | 0 | -1 | o | 0 | 64 | -1 | -1.56% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Sierra Area | North Lake Tables | | 31 | .26 | 88. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85. | 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | Reno | 113-11-03 | <u>51</u> | 28 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| | | | Total: | 62 | 76 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | D | 0.00% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Fast Bay - Neptune Dry | Fast Bay | 113-12-01 | 88 | 95 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 12**** | | | | 48 | 48 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 12 | | San Kamon Valley | 112 16 51 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12**** | | San Kamun Valley | Tie le pi Total: | 136 | 143 | 139 | 0 | 0 | ő | ů. | 139 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 12 | | San Kamun Valloy | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| NOTES: As of 31 JAN 2023 ⁽¹⁾ Diambers have transferred to other Flotillas ⁽¹⁾ Diambers have Crust the Bar ⁽¹⁾ Binburcudaro Cove Flotilla Delated from ADII | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| METRICS: | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of Divisions: | 10 | DIINR | | | | | | | |
| Avg. Number of Mombers Fer Division: | 106 | 16 Divisions | | | | | | | |
| Number of Flotillas: | 38 | | | | | | | | |
| Avg. Number of Moraburs Fer Flatillas: | 28 | 38 FiotHlas | | | | | | | |
| Flotilla with most number of members: | 96 | 113-12-01 | | | | | | | |
| Flotills with least number of members: | 10 | 113-04-08 | | | | | | | |

Prepared By : Jim Losi, D11NR, DSO-HR

Figure 2 - D11NR Exit Interview Template

1. What prompted you to begin thinking about leaving the Auxiliary??

Kick off the exit interview by asking the employee why they're leaving. Do they feel that the Auxiliary isn't the right fit? Their answer can help guide the discussion and spark ideas for follow-up questions.

This question can also help you determine which opportunities, benefits, or perks the Auxiliary could be offering to attract and retain members. For example, if you notice exiting members often say they're leaving because there wasn't enough opportunity for participation, it could be a sign that local leaders aren't properly mentoring or communicating.

2. Do you feel your Flotilla leader gave you what you needed to succeed?

From training and one-on-one meetings and participation development opportunities, leaders have a responsibility to make sure each of their team members have the tools and feedback they need to excel in their role. This is one of the best exit interview questions, as it can reveal if members feel abandoned in any of these areas so it can be addressed with their Flotilla leadership.

3. What did you like best and least about being an Auxiliary member?

This exit interview question will help you identify what might get future prospective members excited about their role, as well as how to set the right expectations for being a member and what is involved with membership in the Auxiliary. For example, if an exiting member says they were unhappy with an inability to get information, you'll want to make sure the next member is comfortable with navigating the many sources of Auxiliary information.

4. Do you think the requirements and demands on you as a member have changed since you became a member?

Change in the Auxiliary is constant and there are always new requirements to maintain overall membership as well as certain qualifications. Sometimes these changes demand a different set of skills than membership initially required and can make current members less enthusiastic about their overall membership. Hearing how things have changed will provide us with critical details to include in the prospective membership conversation to make sure your next member is well-suited for these new demands and changes.

5. Did you feel your achievements were recognized throughout your membership

Recognition is crucial to the member experience. When members know their Auxiliary leadership notices and values their contributions, it improves motivation, fuels further participation, and can decrease membership attrition. If a member doesn't feel their efforts were appreciated, this could be part of the reason they've chosen to leave.

6. What suggestions do you have for the Auxiliary? How could we improve?

From suggestions about leadership style, recognition to how the Flotilla conducts meetings, it's important to consider all types of feedback. While you may not be able to make all proposed changes, knowing what members find important will help you determine how to improve member morale and prevent other members from leaving for similar reasons.

7. Is there anything that would have changed your mind about leaving? "Would you consider staying with the Auxiliary?"

This exit interview question is purposefully direct and can help you get to the crux of why a member has chosen to leave. Often, what would have encouraged a member to stay is also the catalyst behind their reason to move on and is worth examining further. For example, if a member says they probably wouldn't have made the decision to leave if the Auxiliary communicated more, then it might be time to explore new and different ways to communicate to the local membership or have more centralized comms.

8. Would you recommend membership in the Auxiliary to a friend? Why or why not?

Even though they ultimately decided to leave the Auxiliary, former members can be an excellent referral source. In an ideal world, every exiting member would answer "yes" to this question — but the reality is there may be some who are so unhappy with their experience that they would feel uncomfortable referring their contacts. In this case, identify the issues and make corrections as quickly as possible.

9. Did you share any of the concerns we discussed today with your local Flotilla leader or another Flotilla member before deciding to leave?

Asking this exit interview question can reveal whether or not members feel safe and comfortable voicing their concerns and opinions at the Flotilla level. If their answer to this question is "no," it could be a sign that the local Flotilla needs to work on building a culture where members feel confident speaking up and sharing their concerns, without fear of negative reactions.

If their answer is "yes," it may mean that Flotilla leaders (1) aren't taking member feedback seriously or (2) aren't asking for feedback often enough.

10. Follow-up question: Can you give me a specific example?

After your former member has answered any of the above exit interview questions, don't be afraid to ask them to elaborate with more information or specific examples. For instance, if the exiting member mentions that they didn't like their leader, ask them for a specific example so you know exactly what you need to fix. The more specific feedback you can get, the better.